

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington. D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

	APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
	09/452,367	11/30/1999	DANIEL G. SCHWEIKERT	P-3790	1232
	7: SERGE J. HC	590 04/26/2002 NDGSON		EVAM	IMER
	GUNNISON, MCKAY & HODGSON LLP 1900 GARDEN ROAD SUITE 220			EXAMINER MITCHELL, JAMES M	
	MONTEREY,	CA 93940		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
				2827	

DATE MAILED: 04/26/2002

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	pplicant(s)
	09/452,367	SCHWEIKERT ET AL.
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
	James Mitchell	2827
The MAILING DATE of this communication a Period for Reply	appears on the cover sheet wi	th the correspondence address
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REI THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATIOI - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 GFR after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory peri - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by sta - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the may earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status	N. t 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply within the statutory minimum of thirt iod will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON atute. cause the application to become AB	reply be timely filed by (30) days will be considered timely. ITHS from the mailing date of this communication. ANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 2	<u> 21 September 2000</u> .	
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☒	This action is non-final.	
Since this application is in condition for allocation closed in accordance with the practice und Disposition of Claims		
4) Claim(s) 1-34 is/are pending in the applicat	tion.	
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are without	drawn from consideration.	
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.		
6) Claim(s) <u>1-5,7-15,17-22,24-34</u> is/are rejected	ed.	
7) Claim(s) <u>6,16 and 23</u> is/are objected to.		
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	d/or election requirement.	
Application Papers		
9) The specification is objected to by the Exam		
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ac		
Applicant may not request that any objection to 11) The proposed drawing correction filed on		
If approved, corrected drawings are required in		isapproved by the Examiner.
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the	• •	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120		
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for fore	eign priority under 35 U.S.C.	§ 119(a)-(d) or (f).
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:	organ processy arrange on or or or or	3 () ()
1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority docume	ents have been received.	
2. Certified copies of the priority docum		Application No.
3. Copies of the certified copies of the papplication from the International * See the attached detailed Office action for a	oriority documents have been Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	received in this National Stage
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for dome		
a) The translation of the foreign language 15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for dom	provisional application has b	een received.
Attachment(s)		
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(5) Notice of	Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)

Art Unit: 2827

DETAILED ACTION

This office action is in response to the supplemental papers filed September 21,
 2000.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

2. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

3. The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

- 4. Claim 2 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as containing subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to reasonably convey to one skilled in the relevant art that the inventor(s), at the time the application was filed, had possession of the claimed invention. There is no support in the written description for the first shield line and signal lines to be a part of a single conductor layer, the description indicates that it is the first and second shield lines that are a part of a single conductor (Page 5, Lines 20-22).
- 5. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

 The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 6. Claim 3 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention. It is ambiguous as to how the shield lines "are each in a

Art Unit: 2827

different conductor layer". The shield lines comprise a conducting layer; as such they are not "in a conductor layer".

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

7. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 8. Claims 1, 3-5, 7-10 and 15 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Hiroshi (JP 4-313300).
- 9. Hiroshi (Fig 1) discloses a structure comprising a first (3) and a second signal line (3) above a substrate (2a) with a first inherent shield line (4; Abstract Constitution Lines 6-7) that is grounded and positioned between but separated from said first and second line (Abstract Purpose Line 2) in an unused substrate surface area, said shielding line electrically floating (via wire being in an electrical "zero" state due to grounding), said first shield line has an area sufficient to prevent said first shield line from causing capacitive coupling between said first signal line and said second signal line to be greater than if said first shield line was not present (inherent in the purpose of the shield line, which is to lower capacitive coupling), said first shield line, said second signal line and said first shield line are each in a different conductor layer (as interpreted to mean non attached conductive layers; Abstract Purpose, Line 2) said second shield line electrically connected to said first shield line (via through holes 7 and shielding layers 6), and said first shield line is a part of a first conductor layer and said second

Art Unit: 2827

shield layer is part of a second conductor layer, wherein said via ("through hole", 7) is provided at a natural intersection of said first shield line and second shield line (as shown in Fig 1).

- 10. Claims 21,22 and 24 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Allen (U.S 5,135,889).
- 11. Allen (Column 6, Lines 28-51) discloses forming a first and second signal line (Column 4, Lines 53-54) above a substrate and forming a shield line positioned between but separated from said first and second signal line, and said first shield line being electrically floating ("grounded") and electrically connected to a second shield line (via grounded substrate; Column 3, Lines 56-57).
- 12. Claims 25-27,30-34 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Crowder et al. (U.S 5,446,243).
- 13. Crowder (Abstract Lines 1-2 and 8-16) discloses a method of forming a signal line layout comprising a plurality of signal lines, defining any area of said signal line layout which is not one of said plurality of signal lines as unused area, and defining at least one shield line in said unused area, such that said at least one shield line is defined as a first portion (via channel; Column 3, Lines 24-25) that inherently is "at least equal to the minimum distance allowable" between features away from any one of said lines and that has a line width at least equal to the minimum distance allowable between features away from any one of said signal lines, and that has a line width that's inherently at least equal to the minimum allowable width of a feature, wherein at least one shield line is grounded (Column 3, Lines 33-35), and a first shield line is connected

Art Unit: 2827

to a second shield line (shown in Fig 6, Item 606; Column 3, Line 57), at least a first shield line is a part of a first conductor layer (defined as a first layer portion filling a first channel and via) and second shield line is a second conductor layer (defined as the second layer portion filling the second channel and second via), wherein said first shield line is electrically connected to said second shield line by an electrically conductive via provided at a natural intersection of said first shield line and second shield line (shown in Fig 5, Item 504; Column 2, Lines 22-25).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 14. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 15. This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).
- 16. Claims 11-14, 17-20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Hiroshi.

Art Unit: 2827

- 17. Hiroshi discloses the elements stated in paragraph 9 and further discloses a first shield line that inherently has a first and second portion (inherently a conductive layer is comprised of multiple contiguous layers comprising a 1st, 2nd, etc. portion).
- 18. Hiroshi does not appear to disclose the distance between the first and said second signal line, that the first shield line has a width greater than the minimum allowable width of a feature, or that the width of the first portion is greater said second portion.
- 19. However, it would have been an obvious matter of design choice bounded by well known manufacturing constraints and ascertainable by routine experimentation and optimization to choose these particular dimensions because applicant has not disclosed that the dimensions are for a particular unobvious purpose, produce an unexpected result, or are otherwise critical, and it appears prima facie that the process would possess utility using another dimension.
- 20. Indeed, it has been held that mere dimensional limitations are prima facie obvious absent a disclosure that the limitations are for a particular unobvious purpose, produce an unexpected result, or are otherwise critical. See, for example, In re Rose, 220 F.2d 459, 105 USPQ 237 (CCPA 1955); In re Rinehart, 531 F.2d 1048, 189 USPQ 143 (CCPA 1976); Gardner v. TEC Systems, Inc., 725 F.2d 1338, 220 USPQ 777 (Fed. Cir. 1984), cert. denied, 469 U.S. 830, 225 USPQ 232 (1984); In re Dailey, 357 F.2d 669, 149 USPQ 47 (CCPA 1966).
- 21. Claims 28 and 29 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Crowder as applied to claim 26.

Page 7

Art Unit: 2827

22. Crowder does not explicitly disclose that at least one shield line has a width greater than the minimum allowable width of a feature or that at least one shield line has a first portion and a second portion wherein the first portion has a greater width than the second portion, however see paragraphs 19-20.

Allowable Subject Matter

23. Claims 6, 16 and 23 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Conclusion

24. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Yang (U.S 6,166,440), Ma (U.S 6,022,787), Allen (U.S 5,135,889), Chang et al. (U.S 5,915,201) and Kong et al. (U.S 6,180,430).

The prior art discloses in Yang, Ma and Allen the use of shielding wires to lower capacitive coupling between signal wires, and in Chang and Kong the use of electrically floating metal layers adjacent signal lines.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to James Mitchell whose telephone number is (703) 305-0244. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 10:30-8:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, David L. Talbott can be reached on (703) 305-9883. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are (703)

Art Unit: 2827

305-3432 for regular communications and (703) 305-3230 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0956.

jmm

April 22, 2002

DAVID L. TALBOTT

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER

TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2800